

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/933,639	08/20/2001	Raymond T. Hsu	010498	010498 6691	
23696 75	90 01/17/2006		EXAMINER		
QUALCOMM, INC 5775 MOREHOUSE DR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			DUONG, DUC T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2663	2663	
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/933,639	HSU, RAYMOND T.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Duc T. Duong	2663	

	Duc T. Duong	2663					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
<del></del>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further condition to the proposed to the</li></ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		• •					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTO: 00.1)				
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ce because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
	F = 123 #26	RICKY Q. NGO	MNER				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding to applicant's argument on page 7 with respect to claims 1-4, 12, and 14, Ahmadvand fails to teach for "generating a portion of an Internet Protocol (IP) packet". In response, the examiner would like to direct applicant's attention to fig.3-4 col. 7 lines 18-124. Herein, Ahmadvand discloses a processing module 71 for generating a portion 47 of an IP packet 46. Regarding to applicant's argument on page 8, Ahmadvand transmitting the frame without the control field does not read on transmitting the frame without the protocol information. In response, the examiner would like to point out the protocol information field in the applicant's claims does not have a specific structure or performs a specific function that can be distinguish from the control fields in Ahmadvand. Thus, the control fields in Ahmadvand read on the protocol information of the claims. Based on the reasons set forth here the rejections are maintained.